1	PROJECT REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
2	Wednesday, January 8 <sup>th</sup> , 2024
3	
4	The meeting of the Project Review Committee was held remotely. H. Garrett called the meeting to order at
5	6:04 PM.
6	
7	ATTENDANCE:
8	Commission: Scholten, Marietta 🖾 ; Demars, Howard 🖾 ; Buermann, Robert 🖾 ; Irwin, William 🗌 ; Garrett,
9 10	Harold $igodold ;$ Neal Speer $igodold ;$ Julian Callan $igodold ;$ Yvon Dandurand $igodold ;$
11	Staff: Emily Klofft.
12	
13	Guests: Sam Carlson (Encore Renewable Energy- Reservoir Road Solar), Taegen Kopfler (Encore Renewable
14	Energy- ER Dunsmore LLC), and Owen Dartley (Encore Renewable Energy).
15	
16	
17	Changes or Additions to the Agenda:
18	E. Klofft suggested that the review of the Regional Public Participation Plan should be moved to the end of the
19	agenda for the benefit of the applicants attending. The Committee agreed to move it to the agenda.
20	
21	
22	<u>Minutes</u>
23	B. Buermann motioned to approve the minutes of the November meeting. J. Callan seconded. The motion
24	carried with 1 abstention.
25	
26	Public Comment
27	None.
28	
29	Project Reviews:
30	
31	Section 248- ER Dunsmore, LLC
32	Project Details: 45-day notice for 5 MW solar located at 2642 Dunsmore Road, St. Albans Town.
33	
34	E. Klofft presented on the site plan and draft project review sheet. The project is a new 45-day notice, but the
35	Committee had previously reviewed the project in 2022. At that time, the questions the Committee had were
36	about the decommissioning plan and screening. E. Klofft stated that the project would likely require a
37	decommissioning plan, although it was not included in the 45-day notice. The updated 45-day notice states
38	that no new screening is proposed. The project will use an existing access road that crosses a river corridor.
39	
40	T. Kopfler stated that the project would have a decommissioning plan which will include a bond to the state
41	that will be updated every few years to address the cost of inflation. T. Kopfler noted that the final aesthetics
42	analysis would be included in the full application.
43	
44 45	H. Garrett asked if the project would include pollinator species. T. Kopfler stated that Encore has a
45 46	commitment to dual use when possible, whether that be planting pollinator species or sheep grazing. Contracts for sheep grazing are generally considered further in the development process.

1	
2	B. Buermann stated that he did not have major concerns with the aesthetics given the project's location. H.
3	Demars asked if the project would be visible from Lake Champlain. T. Kopfler stated that the intitila aesthetics
4	analysis did not consider that, but it could be addressed in the full application. H. Garrett stated that it was
5	unlikely to be visible from the lake given the topography of the area.
6	
7	H. Demars asked about the presence of wetlands on the site. T. Kopfler stated that the project was in the
8	process of getting state permits for crossing the Jewett Brook across the existing access road. The modules are
9	located over some Class 3 wetlands, no Class 2 wetlands will be impacted.
10	
11	H. Demars asked if the applicant had met with the Town. T. Kopfler stated that she planned to meet with the
12	town.
13	
14	H. Garrett asked about the width of the project ROW. It was clarified that the ROW is an existing farm road. H.
15	Demars asked if there was any chance the electrical lines would be buried underground. T. Kopfler stated that
16	for this project it was unlikely given that the road crosses Jewett Brook.
17	
18	Section 248- Reservoir Road Solar
19	Project Details: 2.375 MW solar located at 640 Reservoir Road, Berkshire.
20	
21	E. Klofft presented on the site plan and draft project review sheet. She noted that the Committee's main
22	concern from the 45-day notice was potential impacts to the source water protection area for the Village of
23	Enosburg Falls water source. The project will include transformers. Those transformers will use a
24	biodegradable oil and include a secondary containment system. The solar panels will be located more than
25	200' from the existing wellheads, some construction will occur within 200' with grading trucks. The project will
26	include a decommissioning plan and fund.
27	
28	S. Carlson noted that the Village of Enosburg Falls was the off-taker of the electricity as well as one of the
29	property-owners, and had worked closely with Encore to ensure that there are no negative impacts to the
30	water supply.
31	
32	H. GDemars asked what percentage of the Village's electrical needs this project would meet. S. Carlson stated
33	that he was unsure but that it would help the Village meets its legal requirements for renewable energy
34	generation. E. Klofft noted that it would be supplemental to the main source of renewable energy for the
35	Village which comes from the dam. H. Demars asked what the kWh rate would be. S. Carlson stated that the
36	final PPA was still being negotiated but it would likely be between 8 and 12 cents/kWh.
37	C. Contain noted that and is structuled and as the second subject. The Millers is also size on remedian the
38	S. Carlson noted that project would replace the access road culvert. The Village is planning on removing the
39	dam on the reservoir which is no longer used, the project is designed to accommodate changes to the stream
40	flow that would occur if the dam is removed.
41 42	L Callan asked if the project would involve pollinators or cheep grazing. C. Carleon stated he was user which
42 42	J. Callan asked if the project would involve pollinators or sheep grazing. S. Carlson stated he was unsure which
43 44	would be used, if sheep grazing is utilized it will be in coordination with the Village to ensure the water supply is not affected.
44 45	וא ווטג מווכנובע.
40	

1 2	The Committee discussed whether or not the project would be considered of a substantial regional impact. E. Klofft noted that the definition of substantial regional impact includes projects that "affect the existing or
2	potential capacity to provide essential or required public services by one or more municipalities". B. Buermann
4	stated that he felt it should be of substantial regional impact given its impact on Enosburg Falls and Berkshire.
5	H. Demars agreed that it had impacts on more than one municipality.
6	n. Demais agreed that it had impacts of more than one manicipality.
7	H. Demars motioned to find that the project was in conformance with the Regional Plan and of a substantial
8	regional impact. J. Callan seconded. The motion carried.
9	
10	
11	Act 250- Malone 75 Swanton Road Properties, LLC
12	Project Details: Subdivision of parcel and construction of a 2,450 square foot coffeeshop with drive-thru.
13	
14	E. Klofft noted that the project had originally been submitted in December. After a conversation with VTrans it
15	was determined that the project would require a traffic impact study. Staff submitted a request for extension
16	of comment period until after the traffic study, the NRB staff decided to mark the application as incomplete
17	until the traffic study is added.
18	
19	E. Klofft reviewed the project site plan and draft project review sheet. The project is a coffeeshop located in
20	the Regional Growth Center. The project does not include any proposed EV chargers. The main potential
21	concerns regarding the project are traffic and complete streets. The project includes sidewalks along Franklin
22	Park West but not along Route 7. The Town is currently in the process of planning for a multi-use path,
23	although it has not yet been decided which side of the road it would be located on. The project includes full
24	access from Franklin Park West along with a right-turn in only lane on Route 7. Part of the complete streets
25	policy for the Growth Center is "access to the roadway shall be minimized".
26	
27	B. Buermann asked if the stormwater system fed into the overall Franklin Park West system which had
28	previously been a concern. E. Klofft stated she was not sure but would follow-up.
29	
30	B. Buermann stated that he was not concerned about the lack of sidewalk along Route 7 as it made sense to
31	coordinate with the Town's efforts on the multi-use path.
32	
33	H. Garrett stated that he was concerned that travelers coming from Swanton would make an illegal left-turn
34 25	into the right-turn lane.
35 26	L Callan asked how long the multi-use noth would take to develop. E. Klofft stated that she was unsure but
36 27	J. Callan asked how long the multi-use path would take to develop. E. Klofft stated that she was unsure but
37 20	could follow up with the Town.
38 20	The Committee agreed to wait until the traffic study was complete for further comments
39 40	The Committee agreed to wait until the traffic study was complete for further comments.
40 41	
41 42	
42 43	Staff Reviews
43 44	
44	Section 248a- T-Mobile 248a (10 Island Circle, Grand Isle) & Section 248a-T-Mobile/248a (243 Gore Road,
46	Highgate)

- 1 E. Klofft stated there were two applications for addition of new equipment on existing cell towers. In both
- 2 cases the equipment would be placed below the current highest level of the tower and have minimal visual
- 3 impact. The projects are not of substantial regional impact.
- 4

## 5 Review Regional Public Participation Plan

- 6 E. Klofft stated that staff are reviewing the public participation plan adopted by the Regional Commissioners
- 7 with each committee to determine how the committee's work fits into the plan. E. Klofft stated that she
- 8 believed most of the work of the Project Review Committee fell within the inform and consult levels with the
- 9 main actions being warning meetings and public comment. B. Buermann noted that the Committee may act at
- 10 the involve level for some projects.
- 11
- 12 Updates
- 13 None.
- 14

## 15 **Commissioner Announcements**

- 16 None.
- 17

## 18 <u>Adjourn</u>

19 H. Demars motioned to adjourn. The motion carried. The Committee adjourned at 7:22 PM.